

**OFFICIAL GENERAL ELECTION BALLOT  
BAY COUNTY, FLORIDA NOVEMBER 2, 2010**

**TO VOTE, COMPLETELY FILL IN THE OVAL NEXT TO YOUR CHOICE.**

- Use only the marking device provided or a black ink pen.
- If you make a mistake, don't hesitate to ask for a new ballot. If you erase or make other marks, your vote may not count.
- To vote for a candidate whose name is not printed on the ballot, fill in the oval, and write in the candidate's name on the blank line provided for write-in candidate.

<b>STATE</b>	<b>NONPARTISAN</b>
<input type="radio"/> Jeff Atwater REP <input type="radio"/> Lorraine Ausley DEM <input type="radio"/> Ken Mazzie NPA <input type="radio"/> Tom Stearns NPA	Shall Justice Nikki Ann Clark of the First District Court of Appeal be retained in office?  <input type="radio"/> YES <input type="radio"/> NO
<b>CONGRESSIONAL</b>	<b>COMMISSIONER OF AGRICULTURE (Vote for One)</b>
<b>LEGISLATIVE</b>	<b>STATE SENATOR DISTRICT 2 (Vote for One)</b>
<b>STATE REPRESENTATIVE DISTRICT 7 (Vote for One)</b>	<b>COUNTY</b>
<b>GOVERNOR AND LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR (Vote for One)</b>	<b>JUSTICE OF THE SUPREME COURT</b>
<b>ATTORNEY GENERAL (Vote for One)</b>	<b>SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER DISTRICT 5 (Vote for One)</b>
	<b>PROPOSED CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENTS</b>
	<b>NO. 1 CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT ARTICLE VI, SECTION 7</b>
	<b>Repeal of Public Campaign Financing Requirement</b>
	Proposing the repeal of the provision in the State Constitution that requires public financing of campaigns of candidates for elective statewide office who agree to campaign spending limits.
	<input type="radio"/> YES <input type="radio"/> NO

**UNITED STATES SENATOR  
(Vote for One)**

Marco Rubio REP

Kendrick B. Meek DEM

Alexander Andrew Snitker LBT

Bernie DeCastro CPF

Sue Askeland NPA

Bruce Ray Riggs NPA

Bobbie Bean NPA

Rick Tyler NPA

Charlie Crist NPA

Lewis Jerome Armstrong NPA

Write-in

**REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS DISTRICT 2  
(Vote for One)**

Steve Southerland REP

Allen Boyd DEM

Paul C. McCain NPA

Dianne Berryhill NPA

Write-in

**GOVERNOR AND LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR  
(Vote for One)**

Rick Scott REP

Jennifer Carroll REP

Alex Sink DEM

Rod Smith DEM

Peter Allen IDP

John E. Zanni IDP

Michael E. Arth NPA

Al Krulick NPA

Farid Khavari NPA

Darcy G. Richardson NPA

C. C. Reed NPA

Larry Waldo, Sr. NPA

Daniel Imperato NPA

Karl C.C. Behm NPA

Write-in

**ATTORNEY GENERAL  
(Vote for One)**

Pam Bondi REP

Dan Gelber DEM

Jim Lewis NPA

**COMMISSIONER OF AGRICULTURE  
(Vote for One)**

Adam H. Putnam REP

Scott Maddox DEM

Ira Chester TEA

Thad Hamilton NPA

**STATE SENATOR DISTRICT 2  
(Vote for One)**

Greg Evers REP

Christopher S. Crawford TEA

Write-in

**STATE REPRESENTATIVE DISTRICT 7  
(Vote for One)**

Marti Coley REP

David B. Pleat DEM

**COUNTY COMMISSIONERS DISTRICT 4  
(Vote for One)**

Guy M. Tunnell REP

Bill Busch NPA

**NONPARTISAN**

**JUSTICE OF THE SUPREME COURT**

Shall Justice Charles T. Canady of the Supreme Court be retained in office?  
  
 YES  
 NO

Shall Justice Jorge Labarga of the Supreme Court be retained in office?  
  
 YES  
 NO

Shall Justice James E. C. Perry of the Supreme Court be retained in office?  
  
 YES  
 NO

Shall Justice Ricky L. Polston of the Supreme Court be retained in office?  
  
 YES  
 NO

Shall Justice Paul M. Hawkes of the First District Court of Appeal be retained in office?  
  
 YES  
 NO

Shall Justice Charles J. Kahn, Jr. of the First District Court of Appeal be retained in office?  
  
 YES  
 NO

Shall Justice Phil Padovano of the First District Court of Appeal be retained in office?  
  
 YES  
 NO

Shall Justice Lori S. Rowe of the First District Court of Appeal be retained in office?  
  
 YES  
 NO

Shall Justice Kent Wetherell of the First District Court of Appeal be retained in office?  
  
 YES  
 NO

Shall Justice Jim Wolf of the First District Court of Appeal be retained in office?  
  
 YES  
 NO

**SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER DISTRICT 5  
(Vote for One)**

Jim Barr

Steve Moss

**PROPOSED CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENTS**

**NO. 1 CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT ARTICLE VI, SECTION 7**

**Repeal of Public Campaign Financing Requirement**

Proposing the repeal of the provision in the State Constitution that requires public financing of campaigns of candidates for elective statewide office who agree to campaign spending limits.

YES  
 NO

D	E	F
PROPOSED CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENTS	PROPOSED CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENTS	PROPOSED CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENTS
<p align="center"><b>NO. 2 CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT ARTICLE VII, SECTION 3 ARTICLE XII, SECTION 31</b></p> <p><b>Homestead Ad Valorem Tax Credit For Deployed Military Personnel</b></p> <p>Proposing an amendment to the State Constitution to require the Legislature to provide an additional homestead property tax exemption by law for members of the United States military or military reserves, the United States Coast Guard or its reserves, or the Florida National Guard who receive a homestead exemption and were deployed in the previous year on active duty outside the continental United States, Alaska, or Hawaii in support of military operations designated by the Legislature. The exempt amount will be based upon the number of days in the previous calendar year that the person was deployed on active duty outside the continental United States, Alaska, or Hawaii in support of military operations designated by the Legislature. The amendment is scheduled to take effect January 1, 2011.</p> <p><input type="radio"/> YES</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NO</p>	<p align="center"><b>NO. 5 CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT ARTICLE III, SECTION 21</b></p> <p><b>Standards For Legislature To Follow In Legislative Redistricting</b></p> <p>Legislative districts or districting plans may not be drawn to favor or disfavor an incumbent or political party. Districts shall not be drawn to deny racial or language minorities the equal opportunity to participate in the political process and elect representatives of their choice. Districts must be contiguous. Unless otherwise required, districts must be compact, as equal in population as feasible, and where feasible must make use of existing city, county and geographical boundaries.</p> <p>The fiscal impact cannot be determined precisely. State government and state courts may incur additional costs if litigation increases beyond the number or complexity of cases which would have occurred in the amendment's absence.</p> <p><input type="radio"/> YES</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NO</p>	<p align="center"><b>NO. 8 CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT ARTICLE IX, SECTION 1 ARTICLE XII, SECTION 31</b></p> <p><b>Revision Of The Class Size Requirements For Public Schools</b></p> <p>The Florida Constitution currently limits the maximum number of students assigned to each teacher in public school classrooms in the following grade groupings: for prekindergarten through grade 3, 18 students; for grades 4 through 8, 22 students; and for grades 9 through 12, 25 students. Under this amendment, the current limits on the maximum number of students assigned to each teacher in public school classrooms would become limits on the average number of students assigned per class to each teacher, by specified grade grouping, in each public school. This amendment also adopts new limits on the maximum number of students assigned to each teacher in an individual classroom as follows: for prekindergarten through grade 3, 21 students; for grades 4 through 8, 27 students; and for grades 9 through 12, 30 students. This amendment specifies that class size limits do not apply to virtual classes, requires the Legislature to provide sufficient funds to maintain the average number of students required by this amendment, and schedules these revisions to take effect upon approval by the electors of this state and to operate retroactively to the beginning of the 2010-2011 school year.</p> <p><input type="radio"/> YES</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NO</p>
<p align="center"><b>NO. 4 CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT ARTICLE II, SECTION 7</b></p> <p><b>Referenda Required For Adoption And Amendment Of Local Government Comprehensive Land Use Plans</b></p> <p>Establishes that before a local government may adopt a new comprehensive land use plan, or amend a comprehensive land use plan, the proposed plan or amendment shall be subject to vote of the electors of the local government by referendum, following preparation by the local planning agency, consideration by the governing body and notice. Provides definitions.</p> <p>The amendment's impact on local government expenditures cannot be estimated precisely. Local governments will incur additional costs due to the requirement to conduct referenda in order to adopt comprehensive plans or amendments thereto. The amount of such costs depends upon the frequency, timing and method of the referenda, and includes the costs of ballot preparation, election administration, and associated expenses. The impact on state government expenditures will be insignificant.</p> <p><input type="radio"/> YES</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NO</p>	<p align="center"><b>NO. 6 CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT ARTICLE III, SECTION 20</b></p> <p><b>Standards For Legislature To Follow In Congressional Redistricting</b></p> <p>Congressional districts or districting plans may not be drawn to favor or disfavor an incumbent or political party. Districts shall not be drawn to deny racial or language minorities the equal opportunity to participate in the political process and elect representatives of their choice. Districts must be contiguous. Unless otherwise required, districts must be compact, as equal in population as feasible, and where feasible must make use of existing city, county and geographical boundaries.</p> <p>The fiscal impact cannot be determined precisely. State government and state courts may incur additional costs if litigation increases beyond the number or complexity of cases which would have occurred in the amendment's absence.</p> <p><input type="radio"/> YES</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NO</p>	<p align="center"><b>NONBINDING STATEWIDE ADVISORY REFERENDUM</b></p> <p align="center"><b>Balancing the Federal Budget A Nonbinding Referendum Calling for an Amendment to the United States Constitution</b></p> <p>In order to stop the uncontrolled growth of our national debt and prevent excessive borrowing by the Federal Government, which threatens our economy and national security, should the United States Constitution be amended to require a balanced federal budget without raising taxes?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> YES</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NO</p>
		<p align="center"><b>END OF BALLOT</b></p>