OFFICIAL GENERAL ELECTION BALLOT BAY COUNTY, FLORIDA NOVEMBER 6, 2012

	Ā			В			С		7
	TO V	OTE, COMPLETELY FILL	IN		1				
11	THE (CHOI Use provide	OVAL NEXT TO YOUF ICE. only the marking device ded or a black ink pen.	R	UN	(Vote for One)	?		BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS DISTRICT 5 (Vote for One)	
	to ask	ou make a mistake, don't he k for a new ballot. If you en	ase		Connie Mack	REP		Mike Thomas	REP
	not co	tke other marks, your vote it ount. vote for a candidate whose	•		Bill Nelson	DEM		Brian Rust, Jr.	NPA
	is not	printed on the ballot, fill in and write in the candidate's	the		Bill Gaylor	NPA	JUSTIC	E OF THE SUPREME (COURT
21	name	name on the blank line provided write-in candidate.			Chris Borgia	NPA		stice R. Fred Lewis of the	
		PRESIDENT AND VICE PRESIDENT			Write-in		Suprem	e Court be retained in o	TTICE?
		(Vote for One)			REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS			YES	
		Mitt Romney Paul Ryan	REP		DISTRICT 2 (Vote for One)		0	NO	
		r aurriyan			Steve Southerland	REP		ustice Barbara J. Parient Freme Court be retained	
		Barack Obama Joe Biden	DEM		Al Lawson	DEM	office?	neme Court be retained	""
		Joe Diden	DLIW		Write-in			YES	
		Thomas Robert Stevens Alden Link	OBJ	STA	ATE SENATOR DISTRICT (Vote for One)	1	\circ	NO	
		August Ellin			(1010101010)		Shall Ju	ustice Peggy A. Quince on Court be retained in cour	of the
40		Gary Johnson James P. Gray	LBT		Don Gaetz	REP	Oupren	ic court be retained in c	ince:
41		barnes i . Gray			Richard Harrison	NPA		YES	
42		Virgil H. Goode, Jr. James N. Clymer	CPF	P	PROPERTY APPRAISER (Vote for One)			NO	
		cames in crymen			(vote for Gire)		DIST	RICT COURT OF APP	EAL
		Jill Stein Cheri Honkala	GRE		Dan Sowell	REP	First Dis	udge Simone Marstiller of strict Court of Appeal be d in office?	of the
45					Gregory LaPlante	NPA	Tetainet		
		Andre Barnett Kenneth Cross	REF		TAX COLLECTOR (Vote for One)			YES	
							0	NO	
		Stewart Alexander Alex Mendoza	SOC		Connie S. Overstreet	REP	First Dis	idge Stephanie Ray of t strict Court of Appeal be	
					Peggy Brannon	DEM	retained	d in office?	
		Peta Lindsay Yari Osorio	PSL	SUPE	RINTENDENT OF SCHOO (Vote for One)	OLS		YES	
								NO	
		Roseanne Barr Cindy Sheehan	PFP		William "Bill" Husfelt	REP		idge Ron Swanson of th Court of Appeal be retai	
54		.,			Mackie Owens	DEM	office?	ocan or repoar so rotal	
		Tom Hoefling Jonathan D. Ellis	AIP		BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS			YES	
		oonaman b. Ellio			DISTRICT 3 (Vote for One)			NO	
		Ross C. "Rocky" Anders Luis J. Rodriguez	on JPF		Bill Dozier	REP		udge Brad Thomas of th	
		Luis J. Houriguez	011		Les Nelson	DEM	office?	Court of Appeal be retain	inea in
		Write-in			Write-in			YES	
								NO	
	А			В			С	Typ:01 Seg:0012 Spl:0	11

D I	E	-
υ —	E	F
BEACH MOSQUITO CONTROL SEAT 2 (Vote for One)	NO. 2 CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT ARTICLE VII, SECTION 6 ARTICLE XII, SECTION 32	
Joyce Dean	Veterans Disabled Due to Combat Injury; Homestead Property Tax	
Tom Easter	Discount	
C Ken Nelson	Proposing an amendment to Section 6 of Article VII and the creation of Section 32 of Article XII of the State Constitution to	
NO. 1 CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT ARTICLE I, SECTION 28	expand the availability of the property discount on the homesteads of veterans who became disabled as the result of a	
Health Care Services	combat injury to include those who were not Florida residents when they entered the military and schedule the	
Proposing an amendment to the State Constitution to prohibit laws or rules from compelling any person or employer to	amendment to take effect January 1, 2013.	
purchase, obtain, or otherwise provide for health care coverage; permit a person or an employer to purchase	YES	
lawful health care services directly from a health care provider; permit a health	○ NO	
care provider to accept direct payment from a person or an employer for lawful health care services; exempt persons, employers, and health care providers from penalties and taxes for paying directly or accepting direct payment for lawful health care services; and prohibit laws or rules from abolishing the private market for health care coverage of any lawful health care service. Specifies that the amendment does not affect which health care services a health care provider is required to perform or provide; affect which health care services are permitted by law; prohibit care provided pursuant to general law relating to workers' compensation; affect laws or rules in effect as of March 1, 2010; affect the terms or conditions of any health care system to the extent that those terms and conditions do not have the effect of punishing a person or an employer for paying directly for lawful health care services or a health care provider for accepting direct payment from a person or an employer for lawful health care services; or affect any general law passed by two-thirds vote of the membership of each house of the Legislature, passed after the effective date of the amendment, provided such law states with specificity the public necessity justifying the exceptions from the provisions of the amendment. The amendment expressly provides that it may not be construed to prohibit negotiated provisions in insurance	NO. 3 CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT ARTICLE VII, SECTIONS 1 AND 19 ARTICLE XII, SECTION 32 State Government Revenue Limitation This proposed amendment to the State Constitution replaces the existing state revenue limitation based on Florida personal income growth with a new state revenue limitation based on inflation and population changes. Under the amendment, state revenues, as defined in the amendment, collected in excess of the revenue limitation must be deposited into the budget stabilization fund until the fund reaches its maximum balance, and thereafter shall be used for the support and maintenance of public schools by reducing the minimum financial effort required from school districts for participation in a state-funded education finance program, or, if the minimum financial effort is no longer required, returned to the taxpayers. The Legislature may increase the state revenue limitation through a bill approved by a super majority vote of each house of the Legislature. The Legislature may also submit a proposed increase in the state revenue limitation to the voters. The Legislature must implement this proposed amendment by general law. The amendment will take effect upon approval by the electors and will first apply to the 2014-2015 state	
contracts, network agreements, or other provider agreements contractually limiting copayments, coinsurance,	fiscal year.	
deductibles, or other patient charges.	○ YES	
	○ NO	
○ NO		

OFFICIAL GENERAL ELECTION BALLOT **BAY COUNTY, FLORIDA NOVEMBER 6, 2012**

NO. 4 **CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT** ARTICLE VII, SECTIONS 4, 6 ARTICLE XII, SÉCTIONS 27, 32, 33

Property Tax Limitations; Property Value Decline; Reduction for Nonhomestead Assessment Increases; Delay of Scheduled Repeal

(1) This would amend Florida Constitution Article VII, Section 4 (Taxation; assessments) and Section 6 (Homestead exemptions). It also would amend Article XII, Section 27, and add Sections 32 and 33, relating to the Schedule for the amendments

(2) In certain circumstances, the law requires the assessed value of homestead and specified nonhomestead property to increase when the just value of the property decreases. Therefore, this amendment provides that the Legislature may, by general law, provide that the assessment of homestead and specified nonhomestead property may not increase if the just value of that property is less than the just value of the property on the preceding January 1, subject to any adjustment in the assessed value due to changes, additions, reductions, or improvements to such property which are assessed as provided for by general law. This amendment takes effect upon approval by the voters. If approved at a special election held on the date of the 2012 presidential preference primary, it shall operate retroactively to January 1, 2012, or, if approved at the 2012 general election, shall take effect January 1

(3) This amendment reduces from 10 percent to 5 percent the limitation on annual changes in assessments of nonhomestead real property. This amendment takes effect upon approval of the voters. If approved at a special election held on the date of the 2012 presidential preference primary, it shall operate retroactively to January 1, 2012, or, if approved at the 2012 general election, takes effect January 1, 2013.

(4) This amendment also authorizes general law to provide, subject to conditions specified in such law, an additional homestead exemption to every person who establishes the right to receive the homestead exemption provided in the Florida Constitution within 1 year after purchasing the homestead property and who has not owned property in the previous 3 calendar years to which the Florida homestead exemption applied. The additional homestead exemption shall apply to all levies except school district levies. The additional exemption is an amount equal to 50 percent of the homestead property's just value on January 1 of the year the homestead is established. The additional homestead exemption may not exceed an amount equal to the median just value of all homestead property within the county where the property at issue is located for the calendar year immediately preceding January 1 of the year the homestead is established. The additional exemption shall apply for the shorter of 5 years or the year of sale of the property. The amount of the additional exemption shall be reduced in each subsequent year by an amount equal to 20 percent of the amount of the additional exemption received in the year the homestead was

A

established or by an amount equal to the difference between the just value of the property and the assessed value of the property determined under Article VII, Section 4(d), whichever is greater. Not more than one such exemption shall be allowed per homestead property at one time. The additional exemption applies to property purchased on or after January 1, 2011, if approved by the voters at a special election held on the date of the 2012 presidential preference primary, or to property purchased on or after January 1, 2012, if approved by the voters at the 2012 general election. The additional exemption is not available in the sixth and subsequent years after it is first received. The amendment shall take effect upon approval by the voters. If approved at a special election held on the date of the 2012 presidential preference primary, it shall operate retroactively to January 1, 2012, or, if approved at the 2012 general election, takes effect January 1, 2013.

(5) This amendment also delays until 2023, the repeal, currently scheduled to take effect in 2019, of constitutional amendments adopted in 2008 which limit annual assessment increases for specified nonhomestead real property. This amendment delays until 2022 the submission of an amendment proposing the abrogation of such repeal to the voters.

YES

NO

NO. 5 **CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT ARTICLE V, SECTIONS 2, 11, AND 12**

State Courts

Proposing a revision of Article V of the State Constitution relating to the judiciary

The State Constitution authorizes the Supreme Court to adopt rules for the practice and procedure in all courts. The constitution further provides that a rule of court may be repealed by a general law enacted by a two-thirds vote of the membership of each house of the Legislature. This proposed constitutional revision eliminates the requirement that a general law repealing a court rule pass by a two-thirds vote of each house, thereby providing that the Legislature may repeal a rule of court by a general law approved by a majority vote of each house of the Legislature that expresses the policy behind the repeal. The court could readopt the rule in conformity with the public policy expressed by the Legislature, but if the Legislature determines that a rule has been readopted and repeals the readopted rule, this proposed revision prohibits the court from further readopting the repealed rule without the Legislature's prior approval. Under current law, rules of the judicial nominating commissions and the Judicial Qualifications Commission may be repealed by general law enacted by a majority vote of the membership of each house of the Legislature. Under this proposed revision, a vote to repeal those rules is changed to repeal by general law enacted by a majority vote of the

legislators present.

Under current law, the Governor appoints a justice of the Supreme Court from a list of nominees provided by a judicial nominating commission, and appointments by the Governor are not subject to confirmation. This revision requires Senate confirmation of a justice of the Supreme Court before the appointee can take office. If the Senate votes not to confirm the appointment, the judicial nominating commission must reconvene and may not renominate any person whose prior appointment to fill the same vacancy was not confirmed by the Senate. For the purpose of confirmation, the Senate may meet at any time. If the Senate fails to vote on the appointment of a justice within 90 days, the justice will be deemed confirmed and will take office.

The Judicial Qualifications Commission is an independent commission created by the State Constitution to investigate and prosecute before the Florida Supreme Court alleged misconduct by a justice or judge. Currently under the constitution, commission proceedings are confidential until formal charges are filed by the investigative panel of the commission. Once formal charges are filed, the formal charges and all further proceedings of the commission are public. Currently, the constitution authorizes the House of Representatives to impeach a justice or judge. Further, the Speaker of the House of Representatives may request, and the Judicial Qualifications Commission must make available, all information in the commission's possession for use in deciding whether to impeach a justice or judge. This proposed revision requires the commission to make all of its files available to the Speaker of the House of Representatives but provides that such files would remain confidential during any investigation by the House of Representatives and until such information is used in the pursuit of an impeachment of a justice or judge. This revision also removes the power of the Governor to request files of the Judicial Qualifications Commission to conform to a prior constitutional change.

This revision also makes technical and clarifying additions and deletions relating to the selection of chief judges of a circuit and relating to the Judicial Qualifications Commission, and makes other nonsubstantive conforming and technical changes in the judicial article of

the constitution.

YES

NO